

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2	KRISTIN K. MAYES Chairman Chairman Chairman		
3	GARY PIERCE DUCKLILL		
4	Commissioner PAUL NEWMAN JUN - 5 2009		
5	Commissioner SANDRA D. KENNEDY Commissioner Commissioner		
6	BOB STUMP Commissioner		
7	Commissioner		
8	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF A PROPOSED DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMENT TARGETED BASELINE DOCKET NO. E-01933A-09-0128 DECISION NO. 71109 ORDER		
9			
10			
11	STUDY		
12			
13			
14	Open Meeting May 27 and 28, 2009 Phoenix, Arizona		
15			
16	BY THE COMMISSION:		
17	<u>FINDINGS OF FACT</u>		
18	1. Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP" or "the Company") is engaged in		
19	providing electric power within portions of Arizona, pursuant to authority granted by the Arizona		
20	Corporation Commission.		
21	2. On March 17, 2009, TEP filed an application for approval of its proposed demand-		
22	side management ("DSM") targeted baseline study ("Baseline Study"), and to recover the cost of		
23	the Baseline Study through the Company's DSM Surcharge, or adjustor rate.		
24	3. The purpose of the Baseline Study is: (i) to evaluate existing energy efficiency, or		
25	DSM ¹ programs; (ii) to identify new energy efficiency, or DSM, program opportunities; and (ii) to		
26			
27	DSM is the planning, implementation, and evaluation of programs to shift peak load to off-peak hours, to reduce		
28	peak demand ("kW") and/or to reduce energy consumption ("kWh" or "therms") in a cost-effective manner. DSM		

provide Arizona-based estimates on costs and savings, so that programs can be accurately screened for cost-effectiveness.

- 4. The Company has identified four primary areas of research: (i) Baseline Efficiency Characteristics, Market Availability and Customer Penetration Rates; (ii) DSM Measure Incremental Costs; (iii) Baseline Profiles of Key Customer Market Segments; and (iv) Market Assessment Review of Key DSM Sectors.
- 5. To limit costs and enhance efficiency, UniSource Energy Corporation, the parent company of TEP, UNS Gas and UNS Electric, requested an estimate from an existing DSM contractor ² for a study encompassing all three companies. The resulting estimate was based on performing the Baseline Study for all three companies at once, to take advantage of combined travel, training and on-site analysis. Performing a study on only one or two companies would be less economically efficient and would result in increased per-company costs. UniSource has asked that the Baseline Study funding be approved for all three companies, so that the economic benefit of doing a combined study is preserved.
- 6. The total estimate for a Baseline Study covering TEP, UNS Gas and UNS Electric is \$590,550; \$293,324 of this amount is associated with the TEP component of the DSM Targeted Baseline Study. The \$293,324 estimate is based on the number of hours it would take to complete the baseline study in TEP's territory.
- 7. The increased cost, per kWh, of the Baseline Study for TEP would be \$0.000031, resulting in an approximately 3.5 cent per month increase on a typical summer bill and a two cent increase on a typical winter bill, if the entire cost of the Baseline Study were recovered in a single year.³

² The Company states that Summit Blue was selected to perform the Baseline Study because they are already performing Measurement, Analysis and Research on the UniSource DSM programs and are familiar with the existing DSM portfolios for TEP, UNS Gas and UNS Electric.

³However, the Company is initially proposing to recover only \$57,585 (20%) of the cost of the Baseline Study for TEP; this would result in increases of less than a cent on both typical summer and winter bills during the 2009-2010 program year, and an increase to approximately three cents in summer and two cents in winter in the following year.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
5	
7	1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 8. Staff has recommended that the Commission approve cost recovery for the TEP component of the DSM Targeted Baseline Study. The proposed study will: (i) provide a basis for evaluating and enhancing the Company's energy efficiency programs; (ii) assist in identifying new efficiency programs or measures; and (iii) provide a standard against which to measure the progress of the Company's DSM programs in meeting energy efficiency goals.
- 9. To limit costs, Staff has recommended that recovery for the TEP component of the Baseline Study be capped at \$294,000.
- 10. Staff has recommended that the DSM Targeted Baseline Study (encompassing TEP, UNS Gas and UNS Electric) be filed with the Utilities Division once it is completed.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. TEP is an Arizona public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV, Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over TEP and over the subject matter of the application.
- 3. The Commission, having reviewed the application and Staff's Memorandum dated May 12, 2009, and concludes that it is in the public interest to approve cost recovery for TEP's component of the Demand-Side Management Targeted Baseline Study.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application for cost recovery for the Tucson Electric Power Company component of the Demand-Side Management Targeted Baseline Study be, and hereby is, approved.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that cost recovery for the Tucson Electric Power Company component of the Baseline Study be capped at \$294,000.

24

25 ...

26 .

27 ...

28 ...

Decision No. 71109

DISSENT:

EGJ:JMK:lhm\NS

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

Page 5 SERVICE LIST FOR: Tucson Electric Power Company 1 DOCKET NO. E-01933A-09-0128 2 3 Mr. Philip Dion UniSource Energy Corporation 4 One South Church Avenue, Suite 200 Tucson, Arizona 85701-1623 5 6 Mr. Ernest G. Johnson Director, Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street 8 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 9 Ms. Janice M. Alward 10 Chief Counsel, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 11 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

Decision No. ___ **71109**

Docket No. E-01933A-09-0128